

Maryland Department of the Environment

Comprehensive Flood Mitigation Grant Ranking FY 2022

Category	Points Possible	Weight	Description
State Priorities	5	20%	<p>Activity meets the State’s priorities as identified in the current Administrative Plan. Scored on a sliding scale. The State’s priorities are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Structural community-based risk reduction projects. • Acquisition/demolition of structures within identified hazardous areas. • Infrastructure protection • Property protection measures. • Increase resiliency to climate change • Counted toward Watershed Implementation Plan
Risk Reduction of Hazards	5	10%	<p>Reduction of risk to natural hazards identified in the State Hazard Mitigation Plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5 points: Multiple hazards • 3 points: One hazard • 1 point: Emerging hazard or a hazard not identified in the HMP
Construction	5	20%	<p>The activity reduces the risk and benefits a community (more than 1 property or individual). Identified hazardous areas are those geographical locations identified in the State and/or local hazard mitigation plan. For residential structure, priority will be given to primary residence.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5 points: Protects critical infrastructure, reduces risk for more than 1 property located within identified hazardous area. • 4 points: Protects critical infrastructure and reduces risk for more than 1 property located outside of identified hazardous area. • 3 points: Protects critical infrastructure and reduces risk for only 1 property. • 2 points: Protects or reduces risk for only 1 property located within identified hazardous area. • 1 point: Protects or reduces risk for only 1 property located outside identified hazardous area.
Hazard Mitigation Planning	5	20%	<p>Does the community have a Hazard Mitigation Plan in effect? Participate in the National Flood Insurance Plan? Have a Community Rating</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5 points: participate in all three • 3 points: Participate in two • 1 points: Participate in one

Category	Points Possible	Weight	Description
Performance Measurement	5	10%	<p>The application describes the overall anticipated results in qualitative and/or quantitative terms. The application identifies how the activity will be accomplished and how the activity's results will be evaluated and who will evaluate them. Scored on a sliding scale. Factors for consideration:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-Federal share is identified (source, availability, value) • Proposes a long-term solution to issue • Activity can begin within 90 days after award • Community and/or governmental support is documented • Success metrics are identified • Responsible agency/organization is identified
Application Completeness	5	7.5%	<p>Scored on a sliding scale. Factors for consideration:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Application and attachments submitted by deadline. • HMP is approved and adopted. • Application is signed by Authorized Agent • All attachments are included, such as engineering and design plans, elevation certificates, property appraisals, etc. • Scope of work clearly identifies tasks, methods and/or machinery required, identifies staff, and activity alternatives. • Schedule is 36 months or less, includes milestones and tasks as identified in the schedule of work and provides a realistic timeframe. • Budget is itemized, mirrors schedule of work, narrative included, eligible and reasonable costs, and documentation provided.
Vulnerability Consideration	5	7.5%	<p>Identifies vulnerable population areas for special attention based on socioeconomic risk or vulnerability in the event of an emergency.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5 points: High • 4 points: Above Average • 3 points: Average • 2 points: Below Average • 1 point: Low
Other	5	15%	<p>Scored on a sliding scale. Factors for consideration:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consistency with other State Agency objectives and initiatives • Ranking by local jurisdiction • Local jurisdiction coordination • Positive impact on people or the environment • Other activities completed or proposed in the community • Efficient use of funds • Participation in NFIP and CRS