

**APPENDIX D-4**  
**Beneficiary Eligible Mitigation Action Certification**

REVISED

Continued from page 1

## BENEFICIARY ELIGIBLE MITIGATION ACTION CERTIFICATION

---

Beneficiary Maryland

Lead Agency Authorized to Act on Behalf of the Beneficiary Maryland Department of the Environment  
*(Any authorized person with delegation of such authority to direct the Trustee delivered to the Trustee pursuant to a Delegation of Authority and Certificate of Incumbency)*

<b>Action Title:</b>	MD Marine Engine Replacement Program
<b>Beneficiary's Project ID:</b>	MDME-2020
<b>Funding Request No.</b>	<i>(sequential)</i> 12
<b>Request Type: (select one or more)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reimbursement <span style="margin-left: 150px;"><input type="checkbox"/> Advance</span> <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): _____
<b>Payment to be made to: (select one or more)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Beneficiary <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): <u>Host Terminals</u>
<b>Funding Request &amp; Direction (Attachment A)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Attached to this Certification <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To be Provided Separately

### SUMMARY

<b>Eligible Mitigation Action</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appendix D-2 item (specify): <u>4 Ferries/Tugs</u>
<b>Action Type</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Item 10 - DERA Option (5.2.12) (specify and attach DERA Proposal):
<b>Explanation of how funding request fits into Beneficiary's Mitigation Plan (5.2.1):</b> See Attached	
<b>Detailed Description of Mitigation Action Item Including Community and Air Quality Benefits (5.2.2):</b> See Attached	
<b>Estimate of Anticipated NOx Reductions (5.2.3):</b> See Attached	
<b>Identification of Governmental Entity Responsible for Reviewing and Auditing Expenditures of Eligible Mitigation Action Funds to Ensure Compliance with Applicable Law (5.2.7.1):</b> See Attached	
<b>Describe how the Beneficiary will make documentation publicly available (5.2.7.2).</b> See Attached	
<b>Describe any cost share requirement to be placed on each NOx source proposed to be mitigated (5.2.8).</b> See Attached	
<b>Describe how the Beneficiary complied with subparagraph 4.2.8, related to notice to U.S. Government Agencies (5.2.9).</b> See Attached	

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR OF THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

DATE: 4/2/68

RE: MOUNTAIN VIEW RESERVE, SOUTHWESTERN WYOMING  
APPROXIMATELY 26,000 ACRES  
WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF LAND, AIR AND WATER CONTROL  
(315) 513-2521

ACTIVITY	PERIOD	COMMENTS
Survey	4/2/68	Initial survey of area.
Map	4/2/68	Map of area prepared.
Report	4/2/68	Report on survey results.
Meeting	4/2/68	Meeting with representatives of Wyoming Department of Land, Air and Water Control.
Inspection	4/2/68	Inspection of area.
Photograph	4/2/68	Photograph of area.
Analysis	4/2/68	Analysis of survey data.
Recommendation	4/2/68	Recommendation on proposed boundaries.

ATTACHED

1. A map of the area is attached. The map shows the proposed boundaries of the Mountain View Reserve. The area is approximately 26,000 acres in size.

2. The map was prepared from a survey conducted on 4/2/68. The survey was conducted by the Wyoming Department of Land, Air and Water Control.

3. A report on the survey results is attached. The report contains a description of the area and a list of the survey points.

4. A meeting was held on 4/2/68 with representatives of the Wyoming Department of Land, Air and Water Control. The meeting was held to discuss the proposed boundaries of the Mountain View Reserve.

5. An inspection of the area was conducted on 4/2/68. The inspection was conducted by the Wyoming Department of Land, Air and Water Control.

6. A photograph of the area is attached. The photograph shows the proposed boundaries of the Mountain View Reserve.

7. An analysis of the survey data is attached. The analysis shows that the proposed boundaries of the Mountain View Reserve are reasonable and appropriate.

8. A recommendation is made that the proposed boundaries of the Mountain View Reserve be approved.

4/2/68

If applicable, describe how the mitigation action will mitigate the impacts of NOx emissions on communities that have historically borne a disproportionate share of the adverse impacts of such emissions (5.2.10).  
See Attached

**ATTACHMENTS**  
**(CHECK BOX IF ATTACHED)**

- Attachment A Funding Request and Direction.
- Attachment B Eligible Mitigation Action Management Plan Including Detailed Budget and Implementation and Expenditures Timeline (5.2.4).
- Attachment C Detailed Plan for Reporting on Eligible Mitigation Action Implementation (5.2.11).
- Attachment D Detailed cost estimates from selected or potential vendors for each proposed expenditure exceeding \$25,000 (5.2.6). [Attach only if project involves vendor expenditures exceeding \$25,000.]
- Attachment E DERA Option (5.2.12). [Attach only if using DERA option.]
- Attachment F Attachment specifying amount of requested funding to be debited against each beneficiary's allocation (5.2.13). [Attach only if this is a joint application involving multiple beneficiaries.]

**CERTIFICATIONS**

By submitting this application, the Lead Agency makes the following certifications:

1. This application is submitted on behalf of Beneficiary Maryland, and the person executing this certification has authority to make this certification on behalf of the Lead Agency and Beneficiary, pursuant to the Certification for Beneficiary Status filed with the Court.
2. Beneficiary requests and directs that the Trustee make the payments described in this application and Attachment A to this Form.
3. This application contains all information and certifications required by Paragraph 5.2 of the Trust Agreement, and the Trustee may rely on this application, Attachment A, and related certifications in making disbursements of trust funds for the aforementioned Project ID.
4. Any vendors were or will be selected in accordance with a jurisdiction's public contracting law as applicable. (5.2.5)
5. Beneficiary will maintain and make publicly available all documentation submitted in

Warrant of Arrest for the purpose of the execution of a writ of Habeas Corpus, issued by the Court of Sessions, Glasgow, on the 14th day of August 1881.

Warrant of Arrest  
 for the purpose of the execution of a writ of Habeas Corpus

- |                |                |    |
|----------------|----------------|----|
| James Buchanan | James Buchanan | 1  |
| James Buchanan | James Buchanan | 2  |
| James Buchanan | James Buchanan | 3  |
| James Buchanan | James Buchanan | 4  |
| James Buchanan | James Buchanan | 5  |
| James Buchanan | James Buchanan | 6  |
| James Buchanan | James Buchanan | 7  |
| James Buchanan | James Buchanan | 8  |
| James Buchanan | James Buchanan | 9  |
| James Buchanan | James Buchanan | 10 |

Warrant of Arrest

- |                |                |    |
|----------------|----------------|----|
| James Buchanan | James Buchanan | 1  |
| James Buchanan | James Buchanan | 2  |
| James Buchanan | James Buchanan | 3  |
| James Buchanan | James Buchanan | 4  |
| James Buchanan | James Buchanan | 5  |
| James Buchanan | James Buchanan | 6  |
| James Buchanan | James Buchanan | 7  |
| James Buchanan | James Buchanan | 8  |
| James Buchanan | James Buchanan | 9  |
| James Buchanan | James Buchanan | 10 |

**support of this funding request and all records supporting all expenditures of eligible mitigation action funds subject to applicable laws governing the publication of confidential business information and personally identifiable information. (5.2.7.2)**

**DATED:** 7/20/20



George S. (Tad) Aburn, Jr.  
Director, Air and Radiation Administration|  
Maryland Department of the Environment  
[LEAD AGENCY]

**for**  
Maryland  
[BENEFICIARY]

1. The first part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor of the journal. The letter discusses the author's motivation for writing the paper and the importance of the research. It also mentions the author's affiliation with the university and the journal's name.

2. The second part of the document is the abstract of the paper. It provides a brief summary of the research objectives, methods, results, and conclusions. The abstract is followed by the main body of the paper, which is divided into several sections: Introduction, Literature Review, Methodology, Results, Discussion, and Conclusion.

3. The third part of the document is the conclusion of the paper. It summarizes the main findings of the research and discusses their implications for the field. The conclusion is followed by a list of references and a list of figures and tables.



**Appendix D-4 – Supplemental Information  
Beneficiary Eligible Mitigation Action Certification**

**Beneficiary: Maryland  
Lead Agency: Maryland Department of the Environment**

**In support of funding request no. 12  
MD Marine Engine Replacement Program**

**Appendix D4 – Summary**

---

**Explanation of how funding request fits into Beneficiary’s Mitigation Plan (5.2.1):**

This project falls under the Private Sector and Federal Government section detailed on page 13 of Maryland’s Beneficiary Mitigation Plan (BMP). This section was reserved for private companies to submit proposals for vehicle replacements, and proposals were selected through a competitive process.

Host Terminals submitted a proposal to use \$541,200 to replace five unregulated marine engines with new Tier 3 marine engines.

**Detailed Description of Mitigation Action Item Including Community and Air Quality Benefits (5.2.2):**

Host Terminals will utilize Volkswagen Trust Funds to cover 40% of the cost to replace five marine engines in their tug boat. These unregulated diesel engines will be replaced with new Tier 3 marine engines, with \$541,200 of the \$1,353,000 total project budget coming from the Volkswagen Settlement.

Host Terminals operates in and around the Port of Baltimore, an area of the State heavily burdened by harmful vehicle emissions. Baltimore City is a designated non-attainment area in Maryland and ranks as one of the worst areas of the United States for elevated air pollution levels. Activity in the Port of Baltimore contributes to the emission problem in Baltimore in its effort to facilitate the vehicle traffic associated with port goods movement.

MDE expects these projects to result in the following reductions in air pollutants:

Pollutant	NOx	PM 2.5
Pollution Reduction (Lifetime Tons)	816.9	21.30

**Estimate of Anticipated NOx Reductions (5.2.3):**

Annual NOx reductions are estimated to be 35.519 Tons. Lifetime NOx reductions are estimated to be 816.9 Tons.

**Identification of Governmental Entity Responsible for Reviewing and Auditing Expenditures of Eligible Mitigation Action Funds to Ensure Compliance with Applicable Law (5.2.7.1):**

The Maryland Department of the Environment is responsible for all Volkswagen Mitigation Plan projects in Maryland.

**Describe how the Beneficiary will make documentation publicly available (5.2.7.2):**

All documentation will be made publicly available on the Maryland Department of the Environment's Maryland Volkswagen Mitigation Plan website. This site can be found at:

<https://mde.maryland.gov/programs/Air/MobileSources/Pages/MarylandVolkswagenMitigationPlan.aspx>

**Describe any cost share requirements to be placed on each NOx Source proposed to be mitigated (5.2.8):**

The vehicles being replaced under this funding request are all owned and operated by Host Terminals, a private company. As required by the Volkswagen Settlement, Host Terminals has agreed to provide the 60% match required for this eligible mitigation action.

**Describe how the Beneficiary complied with subparagraph 4.2.8, related to U.S. Government Agencies (5.2.9):**

The Maryland Department of the Environment sent the required notifications to the specified U.S. Government Agencies on February 27<sup>th</sup>, 2018.

**If applicable, describe how the mitigation action will mitigate the impacts of NOx emissions on communities that have historically borne a disproportionate share of the adverse impacts of such emissions (5.2.10):**

Host Terminals operates in and around the Port of Baltimore, an area of the State heavily burdened by harmful vehicle emissions. Baltimore City is a designated non-attainment area in Maryland and ranks as one of the worst areas of the United States for elevated air pollution levels. Activity in the Port of Baltimore contributes to the emission problem in Baltimore in its effort to facilitate the vehicle traffic associated with port goods movement.

Replacing these marine engines with new Tier 3 engines will provide direct benefits to the communities in and around the Port of Baltimore that are disproportionately affected by vehicle emissions due to the nature of port operations.

**ATTACHMENT B**

**PROJECT MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**PROJECT SCHEDULE AND MILESTONES**

<b>Milestone</b>	<b>Date</b>
Request for Proposals announced	2/13/19
Request for Proposals Application Deadline	5/6/19
MDE Reviews applications for Transit Bus Replacement Program	Feb 2020
MDE Submits Funding Request to Trustee –Appendix D-4	July 2020
Trustee Acknowledges Receipt of Project Certification and Funding Direction	Receipt from Trustee
Trustee Allocates Share of State Funds for Approved Project	Transfer Date
Grant Agreements signed with selected award recipients	CY 2020, Q3
Project Sponsor provides detailed invoices for all claimed project costs, proof of destruction, required certification documents to MDE to support direction to Trustee for Payment (Reimbursement).	CY 2021, Q1
MDE completes review and certifies payment direction to Trustee (Reimbursement)	CY 2021, Q1
Trustee Acknowledges Receipt of Direction for Payment(s) (Reimbursement)	CY 2021, Q1
Project Sponsor Certifies Project Completion	CY 2021, Q1
MDE Reports Project Completion	CY 2021, Q1

**PROJECT BUDGET**

<b>Period of Performance: _____</b>				
<b>Budget Category</b>	<b>Total Approved Budget</b>	<b>Share of Total Budget to be Funded by the Trust</b>	<b>Cost-Share, paid by bus owners</b>	<b>Cost-Share, if applicable (Entity #2)</b>
1. Equipment Expenditure	\$1,353,000	\$541,200	\$811,800	NA
2. Contractor Support	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA
3. Subrecipient Support	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA
4. Administrative	\$5,412	\$5,412	\$	NA
<b>Project Totals</b>	<b>\$1,358,412</b>	<b>\$546,612</b>	<b>\$811,800</b>	NA
<b>Percentage</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>40.2%</b>	<b>59.8%</b>	<b>%</b>

**PROJECTED TRUST ALLOCATIONS:**

	2021
1. Anticipated Annual Project Funding Request to be paid through the Trust	\$546,612
2. Anticipated Annual Cost Share	\$811,800
3. Anticipated Total Project Funding by Year (line 1 plus line 2)	\$1,358,412
4. Cumulative Trustee Payments Made to Date Against Cumulative Approved Beneficiary Allocation	\$0
5. Cumulative Approved Beneficiary Allocation	\$5,235,558.97
6. Current Beneficiary Project Funding to be paid through the Trust (line 1)	\$546,612
7. Total Funding Allocated to Beneficiary, inclusive of Current Action by Year (line 4 plus line 6)	\$546,612
8. Total Fund Approved for Beneficiary, inclusive of Current Action by Year (line 5 plus line 6)	\$5,782,170.97
9. Beneficiary Share of Estimated Funds Remaining in Trust	\$78,033,000
10. Net Beneficiary Funds Remaining in Trust, net of cumulative Beneficiary Funding Actions (line 9 minus line 7)	\$77,486,388
11. Net Beneficiary Funds Remaining in Trust, net of cumulative Beneficiary Funding Actions Approved by Trustee (line 9 minus line 8)	\$72,250,829.03

**ATTACHMENT C**  
**DETAILED PLAN FOR REPORTING ON ELIGIBLE MITIGATION ACTION IMPLEMENTATION**

The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) will provide detailed reporting on this Environmental Mitigation Trust projects in two ways:

1. Updates to MDE's Volkswagen Mitigation Trust webpage (<https://mde.maryland.gov/programs/Air/MobileSources/Pages/MarylandVolkswagenMitigationPlan.aspx>)
2. Maryland's semiannual reporting obligation to Wilmington Trust.

MDE maintains a VW Mitigation Trust webpage to provide information and updates to the public in a timely manner. MDE will utilize the webpage to inform the public of project awards and make all documents received publicly available by posting them on that page.

Subparagraph 5.3 of the Environmental Mitigation Trust Agreement for State Beneficiaries details Maryland's Reporting Obligations: "For each Eligible Mitigation Action, no later than six months after receiving its first disbursement of Trust Assets, and thereafter no later than January 30 (for the preceding six-month period of July 1 to December 31) and July 30 (for the preceding six-month period of January 1 to June 30) of each year, each Beneficiary shall submit to the Trustee a semiannual report describing the progress implementing each Eligible Mitigation Action during the six-month period leading up to the reporting date (including a summary of all costs expended on the Eligible Mitigation Action through the reporting date). Such reports shall include a complete description of the status (including actual or projected termination date), development, implementation, and any modification of each approved Eligible Mitigation Action. Beneficiaries may group multiple Eligible Mitigation Actions and multiple sub-beneficiaries into a single report. These reports shall be signed by an official with the authority to submit the report for the Beneficiary and must contain an attestation that the information is true and correct and that the submission is made under penalty of perjury. To the extent a Beneficiary avails itself of the DERA Option described in Appendix D-2, that Beneficiary may submit its DERA Quarterly Programmatic Reports in satisfaction of its obligations under this Paragraph as to those Eligible Mitigation Actions funded through the DERA Option. The Trustee shall post each semiannual report on the State Trust's public-facing website upon receipt."

In MDE's semiannual report following the Trustee's approval of this project, MDE will describe the progress of implementing this Eligible Mitigation Action and include a summary of all costs expended on the Eligible Mitigation Action through the reporting date. The report will also include a complete description of the status, development, implementation (including project schedule and milestone updates), and any modification to this Eligible Mitigation Action.



**ATTACHMENT D  
DETAILED COST ESTIMATES FROM SELECTED OR POTENTIAL VENDORS FOR EACH PROPOSED  
EXPENDITURE EXCEEDING \$25,000**

Applicants were asked to submit the total cost for each replacement project. Host Terminals provided estimates for each engine replacement and will provide a 60% match toward the replacement costs.

Marine Engine	Number of Engines	Fuel Type	Upgrade Cost	Installation Cost	Total Cost
Propulsion	2	Diesel	\$390,000	\$200,000	\$1,180,000
Genset	2	Diesel	\$45,500	\$20,000	\$131,000
Winch	1	Diesel	\$28,000	\$14,000	\$42,000

