Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for September 30, 2007								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Normal	Watch	Normal[1]	Normal			
Central	Normal	Warning	Watch	Normal	Watch			
Eastern	Normal	Warning	Watch	N/A	Watch			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

Normal[1] - Data for the end of September has not yet been received from Frostburg as of 02 October 2007 at noon, but Frostburg had 484 days of storage remaining at the end of August.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for August 31, 2007								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Central	Normal	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Watch	Watch	N/A	Watch			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

Normal[1] - Data for the end of August has not yet been received from Cumberland as of 06 September 2007 at 10:30 AM, but Cumberland had 320 days of storage remaining at the end of July.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for August 14, 2007								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal			
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Watch	Watch	N/A	Watch			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

Normal[1] - Data from Baltimore City has not been received as of 2 August 2007 at 12:10 PM, but the City has issued statements to the press indicating reservoir conditions are normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for July 31, 2007								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal			
Central	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Watch	Watch	N/A	Watch			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

Normal[1] - Data from Baltimore City has not been received as of 2 August 2007 at 12:10 PM.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for June 30, 2007								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[2]	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Watch	Normal	N/A	Normal			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

Normal[1] - Data from Cumberland and data Frostburg have not been received as of 12 July 2007 at 11 AM, but Cumberland had 365 days of storage at the end of May and Frostburg had 734 days of storage remaining at the end of April.

Normal[2] - Data from Baltimore City has not been received as of 12 July 2007 at 11 AM.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for May 31, 2007								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[2]	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Watch	Normal	N/A	Normal			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

Normal[1] - Data from Frostburg has not been received as of 25 June 2007 at 11 AM. However, Frostburg had 734 days of storage remaining at the end of April.

Normal[2] - Data from Baltimore City has not been received as of 25 June 2007 at 11 AM.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for April 30, 2007								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[2]	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

Normal[1] - Data from Frostburg has not been received as of 11 May 2007 at 8 AM. However, Frostburg had 686 days of storage remaining at the end of February.

Normal[2] - Data from Baltimore City has not been received as of 11 May 2007 at 8 AM.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for March 31, 2007								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[2]	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

Normal[1] - Data from Frostburg has not been received as of 16 Apr 2007 at 8 AM. However, Frostburg had 686 days of storage remaining at the end of February.

Normal[2] - Data from Baltimore City has not been received as of 16 Apr 2007 at 8 AM.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for February 28, 2007								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal			
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

Normal[1] - Data from Baltimore City has not been received as of 23 Mar 2007 at AM.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for January 31, 2007								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal			
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

Normal[1] - Data from Baltimore City has not been received as of 16 February 2007 at 7:00 AM.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for December 31, 2006								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal			
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

Normal[1] - Data from Baltimore City has not been received as of 24 Jan 2007 at 8:30 AM.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for November 30, 2006								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[2]	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

Normal[1] - Data from Frostburg has not been received as of 13 Dec 2006 at 8:30 AM but Frostburg had 544 days of storage remaining at the end of September.

Normal[2] - Data from Baltimore City has not been received as of 13 Dec 2006 at 8:30 AM.


Summary of I	Hydrologic Ind	dicators for O	tober 31, 200)6	
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[2]	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal


Normal[1] - Data from Cumberland has not been received as of 28 Nov 2006 at 8:30 AM but Cumberland had 303 days of storage remaining at the end of September.

Normal[2] - Data from Baltimore City has not been received as of 28 Nov 2006 at 8:30 AM.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions

	Precip	itation Indicat	ors for Maryla	and Drought R	Regions	
			30-Sep-07			
	Since Jun	30, 2007	Since Mar	31, 2007	WY ¹ To	o Date
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	105%	Normal	92%	Normal	92%	Normal
Central	62%	Warning	71%	Watch	89%	Normal
Eastern	51%	Emergency	70%	Watch	88%	Normal
Southern	51%	Emergency	63%	Warning	86%	Normal
¹ WY or Water	Year begins on	October 1.				





	Precip	itation Indica	tors for Maryla	and Drought R	Regions	
		A	ugust 31, 200	07		
	Since May	Since May 31, 2007 Since Feb 28, 2007 WY ¹ To Date			o Date	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	115%	Normal	99%	Normal	95%	Normal
Central	83%	Normal	87%	Normal	95%	Normal
Eastern	67%	Watch	79%	Watch	93%	Normal
Southern	66%	Watch	73%	Watch	91%	Normal
¹ WY or Water	Year begins on	October 1.				

	Precip	itation Indicat	tors for Maryla	and Drought R	egions	
		А	ugust 14, 200	7		
	Since May	/ 31, 2007	Since Feb	28, 2007	WY ¹ To	o Date
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	94%	Unknown	88%	Normal	87%	Normal
Central	77%	Unknown	85%	Normal	95%	Normal
Eastern	54%	Unknown	75%	Watch	95%	Normal
Southern	56%	Unknown	69%	Warning	93%	Normal
¹ WY or Water	Year begins on	October 1.				

	Precip	itation Indicat	tors for Maryla	and Drought F	Regions	
			31-Jul-07			
	Since Apl	30, 2007	Since Jan	31, 2007	WY ¹ T	o Date
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	74%	Watch	85%	Normal	87%	Normal
Central	60%	Warning	84%	Normal	95%	Normal
Eastern	51%	Emergency	78%	Watch	95%	Normal
Southern	48%	Emergency	73%	Watch	93%	Normal
¹ WY or Water	Year begins on	October 1.	-	-	-	-

	Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions								
			30-Jun-07						
	Since Ma	31, 2007	WY ¹⁻	Γο Date	Since Jui	n 30, 2006			
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition			
Western	80%	Normal	87%	Normal	90%	Normal			
Central	80%	Normal	98%	Normal	104%	Normal			
Eastern	94%	Normal	103%	Normal	115%	Normal			
Southern	77%	Normal	99%	Normal	117%	Normal			
¹ WY or Water	Year begins on	October 1.							

	Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions								
			31-May-07						
	Since Fe	b 28, 2007	WY ¹	To Date	Since Ma	ay 31, 2006			
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition			
Western	83%	Normal	86%	Normal	96%	Normal			
Central	91%	Normal	100%	Normal	119%	Normal			
Eastern	93%	Normal	105%	Normal	135%	Normal			
Southern	81%	Normal	103%	Normal	135%	Normal			

	Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions								
			30-Apr-07						
	Since Jan	Since Jan 31, 2007 WY ¹ To Date Since Apl 30, 2006							
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition			
Western	97%	Normal	94%	Normal	99%	Normal			
Central	112%	Normal	112%	Normal	121%	Normal			
Eastern	108%	Normal	116%	Normal	136%	Normal			
Southern	103%	Normal	115%	Normal	137%	Normal			
¹ WY or Water	Year begins on	October 1.				-			

	Precip	itation Indica	tors for Maryla	and Drought R	Regions	
			31-Mar-07			
	Since Dec 31, 2006 WY ¹ To Date Since Mar 31, 2006					31, 2006
	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Regions	Normai	Condition	Normai	Condition	Normai	Condition
Western	83%	Normal	92%	Normal	99%	Normal
Central	95%	Normal	109%	Normal	119%	Normal
Eastern	88%	Normal	107%	Normal	130%	Normal
Southern	98%	Normal	112%	Normal	135%	Normal

	Precip	itation Indica	tors for Maryla	and Drought F	Regions	
		Fe	bruary 28, 20	07		
	Since Nov	30, 2006	WY ¹ T	o Date	Since Feb	28, 2006
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	67%	Watch	89%	Normal	91%	Normal
Central	75%	Watch	107%	Normal	109%	Normal
Eastern	78%	Normal	113%	Normal	122%	Normal
Southern	85%	Normal	118%	Normal	127%	Normal
¹ WY or Water	Year begins on	October 1.	-	-	-	

	Precip	itation Indica	tors for Mary	land Drought I	Regions	
		Ja	anuary 31, 20	007		
	Since Oct 31, 2006 WY ¹ To Date				Since Ju	l 31, 2006
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	78%	Normal	91%	Normal	96%	Normal
Central	102%	Normal	113%	Normal	120%	Normal
Eastern	103%	Normal	123%	Normal	138%	Normal
Southern	113%	Normal	125%	Normal	149%	Normal
¹ WY or Water	Year begins on	October 1.				

	Precip	itation Indica	tors for Maryl	and Drought F	Regions	
			31-Dec-06			
	WY ¹ T	o Date	Since Jur	n 30, 2006	Since De	c 31, 2005
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	102%	Normal	99%	Normal	94%	Normal
Central	123%	Normal	121%	Normal	112%	Normal
Eastern	129%	Normal	139%	Normal	120%	Normal
Southern	126%	Normal	146%	Normal	124%	Normal
¹ WY or Water	Year begins on	October 1.				

	Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions									
	30-Nov-06									
	WY ¹ T	o Date	Since Aug	g 31, 2006	Since Dec	31, 2005				
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition				
Western	121%	Unknown	125%	Normal	97%	Normal				
Central	154%	Unknown	161%	Normal	116%	Normal				
Eastern	169%	Unknown	187%	Normal	126%	Normal				
Southern	166%	Unknown	204%	Normal	131%	Normal				
Southern		Unknown								

	Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions								
31-Oct-06									
	WY ¹ To Date		Since Jul	31, 2006	Since Dec 31, 2005				
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition			
Western	129%	Unknown	112%	Normal	95%	Normal			
Central	148%	Unknown	137%	Normal	112%	Normal			
Eastern	188%	Unknown	172%	Normal	124%	Normal			
Southern	162%	Unknown	180%	Normal	127%	Normal			
¹ WY or Water `	¹ WY or Water Year begins on October 1.								

Stream Flow Status as of October 1, 2007

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 10/01/2007	Flow (cfs) Reported on 10/01/2007	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 10/01/2007	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Oct 01	Historical Rank For Week Ending 10/01/2007
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	21	21	40	30% - 35%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Watch	2	3	6	20% - 25%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	29	29	39	30% - 35%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Watch	93	91	127	15% - 20%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Warning	68	71	171	5% - 10%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Emergency	2	2	13	<5%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Warning	34	32	60	10%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Warning	12	10	26	5% - 10%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Watch	4,300	4,502 Eqp[1]	7,790	20% - 25%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Watch	1,720	1,660	2,765	15% - 20%

Stream Flow Status as of August 31, 2007

				76 31, 20	Historical	
						Historiaal
			Flance (afa)	7 Day Madian	Median Flow	Historical
Ctusous Come		Ctatus as of	Flow (cfs)	7-Day Median	in cfs	Rank For
Stream Gage	Domina	Status as of	Reported on	(cfs) Ending	Ending Aug	Week Ending
Location	Region	8/31/2007	08/31/2007	08/31/2007	31	08/31/2007
Youghiogheny						
(near	144		0.4	404 5 1541	4.4	750/ 000/
Oakland)	Western	Normal	84	121 Est[1]	41	75% - 80%
Covege Diver						
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	14	22	6	90% - 95%
(near Barton)	western	ivormai	14	22	6	90% - 95%
Wills Creek						
(near						
Cumberland)	Western	Normal	127	87	40	75% - 80%
Antietam	Western	Norman	127	07	40	7370 - 0070
Creek (near	Western &					
Sharpsburg)	Central	Normal	141	144	133	55% - 60%
Monocacy	Central	Norman	171	177	155	3370 - 0070
(Jug Bridge						
near						
Frederick)	Central	Normal	122	189 Est[2]	162	55% - 60%
Patuxent						
(near Unity)	Central	Watch	6	7	12	20%
Deer Cr (at						
Rocks)	Central	Normal	48	53	59	35% - 40%
Choptank						
(near						
Greensboro)	Eastern	Watch	11	13	24	20% - 25%
Susquehanna						
(at Marietta)		Normal	8,244	12,200	7,350	75% - 80%
Potomac (at						
Little Falls)						
Corrected)		Normal	4,476	3,950	2,960	60% - 65%

Stream Flow Status as of August 14, 2007

<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ttus us t	n Augus	<u></u>		
Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 8/14/2007	Flow (cfs) Reported on 08/14/2007	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 08/14/2007	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending August 14	Historical Rank For Week Ending 08/14/2007
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	Eqp(1)	Unknown	58	Unknown
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	6	10	6	65% - 70%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	30	41	48	35% - 40%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	111	119	150	25% - 30%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	111	150 (Eqp[2])	203	35% - 40%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Warning	4	5	14	5% - 10%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	47	52	68	30%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Watch	5	11	26	20%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	8,320	8,775	8,860	45% - 50%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	2,510	2,210	3,430	25% - 30%

Stream Flow Status as of July 31, 2007

<u> </u>	1 10W Ste		7. 50	, <u>2007</u>		
Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 7/31/2007	Flow (cfs) Reported on 07/31/2007	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 07/31/2007	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Jul 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 07/31/2007
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	97	101	64	60% - 65%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	7	7	9	40% - 45%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	42	42	57	25% - 30%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Watch	129	114	165	15% - 20%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Emergency	209	73	227	<5%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Watch	8	7	16	10% - 15%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	55	56	74	30%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Watch	104	11 (Estimate)	29	15% - 20%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	9,027	7,950	10,000	35% - 40%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Watch	3,008	1,881	3,640	10% - 15%

Stream Flow Status as of June 30, 2007

<u> </u>	11011 500	itus as t	i juiic s	0, 200,	-	
Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 6/30/2007	Flow (cfs) Reported on 06/30/2007	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 06/30/2007	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Jun 30	Historical Rank For Week Ending 06/30/2007
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Watch	53	22	74	10% - 15%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Watch	9	5	13	10% - 15%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Warning	54	48	90	5% - 10%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	179	160	213	25% - 30%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Warning	149	148	313	5% - 10%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	15	13	21	25% - 30
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	89	77	93	35%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Watch	20	20	38	20%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Watch	8,303	8,050	18,000	10% - 15%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Watch	2,892	3,000	5,090	10% - 15%

Stream Flow Status as of May 31, 2007

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 5/31/2007	Flow (cfs) Reported on 05/31/2007	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 05/31/2007	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending May 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 05/31/2007
Youghiogheny (near	Region	373172337	3373172337	3373172337	31	30/01/2007
Oakland)	Western	Watch	54	70	162	15% - 20%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Watch	14	20	42	15%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Warning	73	90	203	5% - 10%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	207	221	290	25% - 30%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near			242	010	5.00	000/ 050/
Frederick) Patuxent	Central	Watch	269	312	563	20% - 25%
(near Unity)	Central	Normal	20	23	32	25% - 30%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	113	128	117	55% - 60%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Watch	37	43	75	20% - 25%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Watch	14,500	16,400	32,100	15% - 20%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Watch	4,750	5,080	9,190	10% - 15%

Stream Flow Status as of April 30, 2007

			71 / Aprili s	,		
Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 4/30/2007	Flow (cfs) Reported on 04/30/2007	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 04/30/2007	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Apr 30	Historical Rank For Week Ending 04/30/2007
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	200	252	260	45% - 50%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	50	70	70	50%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	326	403	332	55% - 60%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	402	444	365	65% - 70%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Normal	1,060	1,060	790	65% - 70%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	47	49	40	65% - 70%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	233	229	138	85% - 90%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	164	182	119	75% - 80%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	59,900	65,100	49,500	70% - 75%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	13,700	15,800	11,600	65% - 70%

Stream Flow Status as of March 31, 2007

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 3/31/2007	Flow (cfs) Reported on 03/31/2007	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 03/31/2007	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Mar 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 03/31/2007
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	217	352	425	40% - 45%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	78	131	133	45% - 50%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	540	907	598	70% - 75%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	506	616	430	75% - 80%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Normal	1240	1,775	1,200	70% - 75%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	47	53	53	50%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	156	181	137	70% - 75%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	145	174	174	50%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	108,000	144,000	69,600	85% - 90%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	17.5	25,800	18,300	65% - 70%

Stream Flow Status as of February 28, 2007

			II CDI G	ary 20, 2	-007	
Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 2/28/2007	Flow (cfs) Reported on 3/01/2007	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 02/28/2007	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Feb 28	Historical Rank For Week Ending 02/28/2007
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	542	719	367	60% - 65%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	174	237	94	70% - 75%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	228	208	393	25% - 30%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	309	292	318	45% - 50%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Normal	2,560	1,890	978	75% - 80%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	78	67	43	75% - 80%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	192	178	122	70% - 75%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	196	154	168	45%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Watch	22,900	20,500	37,400	20% - 25%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	20,660	18,930	12,950	65% - 70%

Stream Flow Status as of 31 January, 2007

		ttub ub t		uu, j		
Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 01/31/200	Flow (cfs) Reported on 02/01/2007	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 01/31/2007	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Jan 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 01/31/2007
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Watch	142	177	300	15% - 20%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	88	51	70	35% - 40%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	140	161	260	30% - 35%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	231	245	246	45% - 50%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	469	560	724	40% - 45%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	32	36	36	50%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	108	121	105	60% - 65%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	119	147	140	50% - 55%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	24,750	33,500	27,100	55% - 60%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	6,415	7,430	11,250	35% - 40%

Stream Flow Status as of 31 December, 2006

<u> </u>		ttus us t		cerriber,	2000	
Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 11/31/2006	Flow (cfs) Reported on 01/03/2007	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 12/31/2006	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Dec 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 12/31/2006
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	286	300	263	50% - 55%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	84	95	51	70% - 75%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	341	453	189	75% - 80%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	327	273	197	65% - 70%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	1,710	1,410	595	80% - 85%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	60	44	26	75%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	189	130	90	75%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	591	159	96	70% - 75%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	47,100	46,600	24,750	75% - 80%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	14,380	14,440	7,850	75% - 80%

Stream Flow Status as of 30 November, 2006

<u> </u>	11011 500	itus as t	<u> </u>	verifice;	2000	
Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 11/30/2006	Flow (cfs) Reported on 12/04/2006	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 11/30/2006	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Nov 30	Historical Rank For Week Ending 11/30/2006
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Watch	106	112	218	20% - 25%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	26	30	33	45% - 50%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	114	140	125	50% - 55%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	241	266	148	75% - 80%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	790	1,090	408	80% - 85%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	44	50	21	80% - 85%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	126	135	80	80% - 85%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	196	278	58	90% - 95%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	36,000	49,150	27,000	80% - 85%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	11,260	18,160	4,625	90% - 95%

Stream Flow Status as of 31 October, 2006

						
Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 10/31/2006	Flow (cfs) Reported on 11/01/2006	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 10/31/2006	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Oct 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 10/31/2006
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	168	190	57	80% - 85%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	27	31	9	70% - 75%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	83	90	47	65% - 70%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	191	205	128	80% - 85%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	665	787	224	80% - 85%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	25	28	16	80% - 85%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	85	92	63	75%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	108	110	30	85% - 90%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	81,900	56,900	9,990	90% - 95%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	3,439	6,710	3,040	75% - 80%

Ground Water - 30 Sept 2007

				Regional
Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Status
	AL Ah 1	5.7	Watch	
	WA Be 2	35.35	Watch	
Western	WA Bk 25	48.31	Watch	Watch
	BA Ea 18	23.76	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	15.82	Watch	
Central	MO Eh 20	16.36	Emergency	Watch
	QA Ec 1	7.14	Watch	
	WI Cg 20	8.86	Emergency	
	MC51-01	14	Normal	1
Eastern	SO Cf 2	5.67	Watch	Watch
	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	8.92	Normal	
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)[3]	185.34	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Southern	SM Fg 45 (confined)	94.79	Emergency	Normal

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 01 October 2007 at 10:40AM

CA Bb 27 (confined)[3] - value computed from real time measurement
On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and
response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as
a departure from long term trend.

Ground Water - Aug 2007

Ground	· · · · · ·	, tug = 0		
Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
_	AL Ah 1	3.9	Normal	
	WA Be 2	33.05	Normal	
Western	WA Bk 25	46.9	Watch	Normal
	BA Ea 18	22.62	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	13.02	Watch	
Central	MO Eh 20	15.3	Watch	Watch
	QA Ec 1	6.52	Watch	
	WI Cg 20	8.3	Warning	
	MC51-01	13.4	Normal	1
Eastern	SO Cf 2	4.36	Normal	Watch
	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	8.1	Watch	
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)[3]	185.71	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Southern	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	Normal

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 06 September 2007 at 10:30AM

CA Bb 27 (confined)[3] - value computed from real time measurement
On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and
response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as
a departure from long term trend.

Ground Water Status - Wells in bold updated 14-August 2007, Other well

levels are for end of July 2007

			,	
Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Region				Status
	AL Ah 1	5.13	Normal	
	WA Be 2	34.45	Warning	
Western	WA Bk 25	46.88	Watch	Watch
	BA Ea 18	22.3	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	13.02	Normal	
Central	MO Eh 20	15.01	Warning	Normal
	QA Ec 1	5.58	Watch	
	WI Cg 20	8.56	Warning	
	MC51-01	11.54	Normal	1
Eastern	SO Cf 2	7.81	Normal	Watch
	CH Bg 12 (un			
	confined)	8.13	Normal	
	AA Cc 40			
	(confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27			
	(confined)	186.52	Watch	
	CH Dd 33			
	(confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21			
	(confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50			
	(confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45			
Southern	(confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	Normal

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 02 August 2007 at 10:45AM

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Ground Water - 31 Jul 2007

	Water	Jijai	-00,	
Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
- 5	AL Ah 1		Normal	
	WA Be 2	34.45	Warning	
Western	WA Bk 25	46.88	Watch	Watch
	BA Ea 18	21.73	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	13.02	Normal	
Central	MO Eh 20	15.01	Warning	Normal
	QA Ec 1	5.58	Watch	
	WI Cg 20	8.17	Emergency	
	MC51-01	11.15	Normal	
Eastern	SO Cf 2	5.58	Warning	Watch
	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	8.13	Normal	
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	183.84	On Trend[3]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Southern	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	Normal

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 02 August 2007 at 10:45AM
On Trend[3] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Ground Water - 31 Dec 2006

				Regional
Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Status
	AL Ah 1	5.45	Emergency[5]	
	WA Be 2	34.99	Normal	
Western	WA Bk 25	45.5	Normal	Normal
	BA Ea 18	22.00[4]	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	8.33	Normal	
Central	MO Eh 20	12.38	Normal	Normal
	QA Ec 1	2.75	Normal	
	WI Cg 20	4.39	Normal	
	MC51-01	10.57	Normal	
Eastern	SO Cf 2	1.38	Normal	Normal
	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	2.89	Normal	
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	175.33[4]	On Trend[3]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Southern	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	Normal

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 23 January 2007 at 10 AM

On Trend[3] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

[4] - values for BA Ea 18 and CA Bb 27 are from real time monitoring as field measurements for December were not available as of 23 January 2007 at 10AM

Emergency[5] - The values for both November (4.34) and January (4.45) are within the range of normal variation for this well. The 5.45 value measured in December is an anomaly.

Ground Water - 30 Nov 2006

				Regional
Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Status
	AL Ah 1	4.34	Normal	
	WA Be 2	32.81	Normal	
Western	WA Bk 25	41.95	Normal	Normal
	BA Ea 18[4]	21.9	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	6.41	Normal	
Central	MO Eh 20	12.71	Normal	Normal
	QA Ec 1	1.64	Normal	
	WI Cg 20	3.86	Normal	
	MC51-01	10.51	Normal	
Eastern	SO Cf 2	0.97	Normal	Normal
	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	2.3	Normal	
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	176.78	On Trend[3]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Southern	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	Normal

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 12 December 2006 at 3:00 PM

On Trend[3] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

BA Ea 18[4] - value for BA Ea 18 is from real time monitoring as field

BA Ea 18[4] - value for BA Ea 18 is from real time monitoring as field measurement for November was no available as of 12 Dec 2006 at 3:00 PM.

Ground Water - 31 Oct 2006

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
	AL Ah 1		Normal	
	WA Be 2		Normal	1
Western	WA Bk 25	47.13	Normal	Normal
	BA Ea 18	23.09	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	10.02	Normal	1
Central	MO Eh 20	14.84	Normal	Normal
	QA Ec 1	4.15	Normal	
	WI Cg 20	4.45	Normal	1
	MC51-01	12.42	Normal	1
Eastern	SO Cf 2	1.32	Normal	Normal
	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	3.17	Normal	
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	178.58	On Trend[3]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Southern	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	Normal

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 08 Nov 2006 at Noon
On Trend[3] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of September 2007

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage * *
City of Frostburg	Piney		***
City of	Lake Gordon		
Cumberland	Lake Koon		***
	Liberty		
City of	Loch Raven		
Baltimore	Prettyboy	76%	219
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir		
	Rocky		
****	Gorge/Ducket t	53%	146
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings- Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA
Tarres Flaints		. 5576	, .

- * Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.
- ** Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.
- *** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.
- ****Data has not yet been recieved as of 03 Oct 2007 at 1:30 PM $\,$

****Source: ICPRB

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of August 2007

MOTITO	illig as c	n Augus	L 2007
Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage * *
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	484
City of	Lake Gordon		
Cumberland	Lake Koon		***
32			
	Liberty		
City of	Loch Raven		
Baltimore	Prettyboy	81%	236
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir		
****	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t	65%	180
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings- Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

- * Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.
- ** Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.
- *** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.
- **** Data has not yet been recieved as of 06 Sep 2007 at 10:30 AM

****Source: ICPRB

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of July 2007

mornicorning as or jury 2007			
Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage * *
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	499
City of	Lake Gordon	100%	
Cumberland	Lake Koon	84%	320
32			
	Liberty		
City of	Loch Raven		
Baltimore	Prettyboy		***
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir		
****	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t	83%	225
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings- Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

- * Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.
- ** Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.
- *** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.
- ****Data has not yet been recieved as of 02 Aug 2007 at 2:45 PM $\,$

*****Source: ICPRB

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of June 2007

Monitoring as of June 2007			
Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage * *
City of Frostburg	Piney		***
City of	Lake Gordon		
Cumberland	Lake Koon		***
	Liberty		
City of	Loch Raven		
Baltimore	Prettyboy		***
	Triadelphia Reservoir		
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t	93%	228
WSSC	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings- Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

^{*} Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

^{**} Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

^{***} Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

^{****}Data has not yet been recieved as of 11 July 2007 at 12:30 PM $\,$

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of May 2007

Monitoring as of May 2007			
Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage * *
City of Frostburg	Piney		***
City of	Lake Gordon	100%	
Cumberland	Lake Koon	100%	365
	Liberty		
City of	Loch Raven		
Baltimore	Prettyboy		***
	Triadelphia Reservoir		
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t	100%	233
WSSC	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings- Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

^{*} Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

^{**} Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

^{***} Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

^{****}Data has not yet been recieved as of 25 June 2007 at 2:36 PM $\,$

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of April 2007

_			.
Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage * *
City of Frostburg	Piney		***
City of	Lake Gordon	100%	
Cumberland	Lake Koon	100%	381
	Liberty		
City of	Loch Raven		
Baltimore	Prettyboy		***
	Triadelphia Reservoir		
	Rocky		
	Gorge/Ducket		
	t	100%	239
	Seneca Creek		
WSSC	Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac	Jennings- Randolph		
River Plants	Reserve***	100%	NA

^{*} Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

^{**} Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

^{***} Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

^{****}Data has not yet been recieved as of 11 May 2007 at 8:00 AM

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of March 2007

1410111101	ing as c	i iviai cii	
Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage * *
City of Frostburg	Piney		***
City of	Lake Gordon	100%	
Cumberland	Lake Koon	100%	388
	Liberty		
City of	Loch Raven		
Baltimore	Prettyboy		***
	Triadelphia Reservoir		
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket		
	t	100%	243
WSSC	Seneca Creek Reserve		NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings- Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

- * Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.
- ** Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.
- *** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.
- ****Data has not yet been recieved as of 16 April 2007 at $8:00~\mathrm{AM}$

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of February 2007

Water		Percent	Days of
System	Reservoir	Full*	Storage**
City of			
Frostburg	Piney	99%	686
City of	Lake Gordon	100%	
Cumberland	Lake Koon	100%	390
	Liberty		
City of	Loch Raven		
Baltimore	Prettyboy		***
	Triadelphia		
	Reservoir		
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket		
	t	100%	249
	C		
WSSC	Seneca Creek Reserve		NA
WSSC	IVEREI AG		IVA
All Potomac	Jennings- Randolph		
River Plants	Reserve***	100%	NA

^{*} Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

^{**} Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

^{***} Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

^{****}Data has not yet been recieved as of 27 March 2007 at 9:00 AM $\,$

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of January 2007

1-1-0111101	ing as c	Juliuul	, 2007
Water		Percent	Days of
System	Reservoir	Full*	Storage * *
City of			
Frostburg	Piney	99%	621
City of	Lake Gordon	100%	
Cumberland	Lake Koon	100%	371
	Liberty		
City of	Loch Raven		
Baltimore	Prettyboy		***
	Triadelphia		
	Reservoir		
	Rocky		
	Gorge/Ducket		
	t	100%	245
	C		
W000	Seneca Creek		NIA
WSSC	Reserve		NA
	Jennings-		
All Potomac	Randolph	1000/	
River Plants	Reserve***	100%	NA

^{*} Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

^{**} Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

^{***} Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

^{****}Data has not yet been recieved as of 15 February 2007 at $7:00~\mathrm{AM}$

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of December 2006

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage * *
City of Frostburg	Piney	100%	657
City of	Lake Gordon	100%	
Cumberland	Lake Koon	86%	325
	Liberty		
City of	Loch Raven		
Baltimore	Prettyboy		***
	Triadelphia Reservoir		
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t	88%	223
		0070	223
WSSC	Seneca Creek Reserve		NA
All Potomac	Jennings- Randolph Reserve***	1009/	NIA
River Plants		100%	NA

^{*} Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

^{**} Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

^{***} Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

^{****}Data has not yet been recieved as of 24 January 2007 at 8:30 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{AM}}$

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of November 2006

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage * *
City of Frostburg	Piney		***
City of	Lake Gordon	96%	
Cumberland	Lake Koon	81%	316
	Liberty		
City of	Loch Raven		
Baltimore	Prettyboy		***
	Triadelphia Reservoir Rocky		
	Gorge/Ducket t	82%	213
WSSC	Seneca Creek Reserve		NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings- Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

^{*} Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

^{**} Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

^{***} Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

^{****}Data has not yet been recieved as of 13 December 2006 at 8:30 AM

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of October 2006

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of	Reservoir	Full	Storage
Frostburg	Piney	99%	544
City of	Lake Gordon		
Cumberland	Lake Koon		***
	Liberty		
City of	Loch Raven		
Baltimore	Prettyboy	***	***
	Triadelphia Reservoir		
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket		
	t	52%	136
WSSC	Seneca Creek Reserve		NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings- Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

^{*} Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

^{**} Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

^{***} Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

^{****}Data has not yet been recieved as of 28 Noverber 2006 at Noon

