

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for September 30 2015

Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for August 31 2015

Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for July 31 2015

Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for June 30 2015

Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for May 31 2015

Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Watch	Watch	Normal	Normal[1.]
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Watch	N/A	Normal

Normal[1.] - Although two indicators are in drought Watch, recent precipitation and stream flow response indicate that a drought Watch declaration would not be appropriate at this time.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for April 30 2015

Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for March 31 2015

Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for February 28 2015

Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Watch	Watch	Normal	Normal[1.]
Central	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

[1.] Although there are two indicators in the Watch status for the Western Region, the overall status is considered Normal because:

A. According to the US Drought Monitor, conditions have improved between 3/3/2015 and 3/10/2015.

B. There was only one stream gage with reliable data available, making our evaluation limited.

C. Any declaration of drought Watch during a season when there is no outdoor water use would have no real impact.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for January 31 2015

Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for December 31 2014

Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for November 30 2014

Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for October 31 2014



Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions

Maryland precipitation indicators for periods ending 30-September-2015

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions September 30, 2015						
Regions	Since June 30, 2015		Since March 31, 2015		Since Since 30, 2014	
	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition
Western	108%	Normal	109%	Normal	98%	Normal
Central	91%	Normal	115%	Normal	108%	Normal
Eastern	80%	Normal	98%	Normal	98%	Normal
Southern	86%	Normal	118%	Normal	107%	Normal

1WY or Water Year begins on October 1.



Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions August 31, 2015						
Regions	Since May 31, 2015		WY to Date		Since August 31, 2014	
	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition
Western	127%	Normal	97%	Normal	93%	Normal
Central	145%	Normal	108%	Normal	104%	Normal
Eastern	128%	Normal	100%	Normal	99%	Normal
Southern	138%	Normal	107%	Normal	103%	Normal

1WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions July 31, 2015						
Regions	Since Apr 30, 2015		WY to Date		Since July 31, 2014	
	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition
Western	111%	Normal	94%	Normal	94%	Normal
Central	138%	Normal	112%	Normal	109%	Normal
Eastern	111%	Normal	102%	Normal	102%	Normal
Southern	135%	Normal	111%	Normal	107%	Normal

1WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions June 30, 2015						
Regions	Since Mar 31, 2015		WY to Date		Since June 30, 2014	
	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition
Western	111%	Normal	95%	Normal	95%	Normal
Central	139%	Normal	115%	Normal	109%	Normal
Eastern	118%	Normal	105%	Normal	102%	Normal
Southern	150%	Normal	115%	Normal	107%	Normal

1WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions May 31, 2015						
Regions	Since Feb 28, 2015		WY to Date		Since May 31, 2014	
	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition
Western	81%	Normal	84%	Normal	92%	Normal
Central	94%	Normal	94%	Normal	96%	Normal
Eastern	88%	Normal	92%	Normal	90%	Normal
Southern	96%	Normal	94%	Normal	90%	Normal

1WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions April 30, 2015						
Regions	Since Jan 31, 2015		WY to Date		Since Apr 30, 2014	
	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition
Western	80%	Normal	85%	Normal	96%	Normal
Central	97%	Normal	98%	Normal	101%	Normal
Eastern	99%	Normal	97%	Normal	93%	Normal
Southern	105%	Normal	99%	Normal	92%	Normal

1WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions March 31, 2015						
Regions	Since Dec 31, 2014		WY to Date		Since Mar 31, 2014	
	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition
Western	68%	Watch	85%	Normal	96%	Normal
Central	99%	Normal	100%	Normal	111%	Normal
Eastern	96%	Normal	97%	Normal	96%	Normal
Southern	94%	Normal	95%	Normal	97%	Normal

1WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions February 28, 2015						
Regions	Since Nov 30, 2014		WY to Date		Since Feb 28, 2014	
	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition
Western	80%	Normal	87%	Normal	95%	Normal
Central	87%	Normal	94%	Normal	110%	Normal
Eastern	93%	Normal	95%	Normal	95%	Normal
Southern	94%	Normal	92%	Normal	97%	Normal

1WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions January 31, 2015						
Regions	Since Oct 31, 2014		WY to Date		Since Jan 31, 2014	
	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition
Western	76%	Normal	90%	Normal	100%	Normal
Central	96%	Normal	100%	Normal	116%	Normal
Eastern	98%	Normal	95%	Normal	98%	Normal
Southern	93%	Normal	94%	Normal	102%	Normal

1WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions December 31, 2014						
Regions	WY to Date		Since Jun 30, 2014		Since Dec 31, 2013	
	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition
Western	101%	Normal	99%	Normal	100%	Normal
Central	101%	Normal	98%	Normal	116%	Normal
Eastern	99%	Normal	97%	Normal	98%	Normal
Southern	96%	Normal	90%	Normal	102%	Normal

1WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions November 30, 2014						
Regions	Since Aug 31, 2014		Since May 31, 2014		Since Nov 30, 2013	
	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition
Western	79%	Normal	103%	Normal	101%	Normal
Central	88%	Normal	100%	Normal	121%	Normal
Eastern	94%	Normal	90%	Normal	101%	Normal
Southern	80%	Normal	85%	Normal	106%	Normal

1WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions October 31, 2014						
Regions	Since Jul 31, 2014		Since Apr 30, 2014		Since Oct 31, 2013	
	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition	Percent of Norm	Condition
Western	104%	Normal	111%	Normal	101%	Normal
Central	98%	Normal	104%	Normal	119%	Normal
Eastern	98%	Normal	87%	Normal	100%	Normal
Southern	92%	Normal	87%	Normal	106%	Normal

1WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Stream Flow Status Based on 30 Day Average as of September 30, 2015

Stream Gage Location	Region	Notes	30 Day Average	Percentage	Status
Youghiogheny(near Oakland)	Western		30	30% - 35%	Normal
Savage River(near Barton)	Western		10.5	60% - 65%	Normal
Wills Creek(near Cumberland)	Western		39	35% - 40%	Normal
Antietam Creek(near Sharpsburg)	Western and Central		142	55% - 60%	Normal
Monocacy(Jug Bridgenear Frederick)	Central		372	65% - 70%	Normal
Patuxent(near Unity)	Central		11.5	35% - 40%	Normal
Deer Cr(at Rocks)	Central		121	80% - 85%	Normal
Choptank(near Greensboro)	Eastern		20	35% - 40%	Normal
Nassawango Creek(near Snow Hill)	Eastern		4.4	30% - 35%	Normal
Susquehanna(at Marietta)			6,848	35% - 40%	Normal
Potomac(at Little Falls)Corrected)			2,591	35% - 40%	Normal

Notes:

Stream Flow Status Based on 30 Day Average as of August 31, 2015

Stream Gage Location	Region	Notes	30 Day Average	Percentage	Status
Youghiogheny(near Oakland)	Western		41	25% - 30%	Normal
Savage River(near Barton)	Western		6.2	35%	Normal
Wills Creek(near Cumberland)	Western		37	20% - 25%	Watch
Antietam Creek(near Sharpsburg)	Western and Central		168	60% - 65%	Normal
Monocacy(Jug Bridgenear Frederick)	Central		258	50% - 55%	Normal
Patuxent(near Unity)	Central		12.6	35% - 40%	Normal
Deer Cr(at Rocks)	Central		67	40% - 45%	Normal
Choptank(near Greensboro)	Eastern		20	35%	Normal
Nassawango Creek(near Snow Hill)	Eastern		50	70% - 75%	Normal
Susquehanna(at Marietta)			11,339	55% - 60%	Normal
Potomac(at Little Falls)Corrected)			2,938	35% - 40%	Normal

Notes:

Stream Flow Status Based on 30 Day Average as of July 31, 2015					
Stream Gage Location	Region	Notes	30 Day Average	Percentage	Status
Youghiogheny(near Oakland)	Western		292	80% - 85%	Normal
Savage River(near Barton)	Western		55.7	90% - 95%	Normal
Wills Creek(near Cumberland)	Western		175	80% - 85%	Normal
Antietam Creek(near Sharpsburg)	Western and Central		279	85% - 90%	Normal
Monocacy(Jug Bridgenear Frederick)	Central		740	80% - 85%	Normal
Patuxent(near Unity)	Central		29.5	70% - 75%	Normal
Deer Cr(at Rocks)	Central		116	65% - 70%	Normal
Choptank(near Greensboro)	Eastern		58	60% - 65%	Normal
Nassawango Creek(near Snow Hill)	Eastern		11.1	55% - 60%	Normal
Susquehanna(at Marietta)			49,183	>95%	Normal
Potomac(at Little Falls)Corrected)			8,286	85% - 90%	Normal

Notes:

Stream Flow Status Based on 30 Day Average as of June 30, 2015					
Stream Gage Location	Region	Notes	30 Day Average	Percentage	Status
Youghiogheny(near Oakland)	Western		363	80% - 85%	Normal
Savage River(near Barton)	Western		142	>95%	Normal
Wills Creek(near Cumberland)	Western		449	85% - 90%	Normal
Antietam Creek(near Sharpsburg)	Western and Central		353	80% - 85%	Normal
Monocacy(Jug Bridgenear Frederick)	Central		1898	90% - 95%	Normal
Patuxent(near Unity)	Central		77.1	90% - 95 %	Normal
Deer Cr(at Rocks)	Central		150	70% - 75%	Normal
Choptank(near Greensboro)	Eastern		159	80% - 85%	Normal
Nassawango Creek(near Snow Hill)	Eastern		15.2	40% - 45%	Normal
Susquehanna(at Marietta)			34,367	75% - 80%	Normal
Potomac(at Little Falls)Corrected)			9,903	65% - 70%	Normal

Notes:

Stream Flow Status Based on 30 Day Average as of May 31, 2015					
Stream Gage Location	Region	Notes	30 Day Average	Percentage	Status
Youghiogheny(near Oakland)	Western		112	5% - 10%	Warning
Savage River(near Barton)	Western		47.1	25% - 30%	Normal
Wills Creek(near Cumberland)	Western		174	15% - 20%	Watch
Antietam Creek(near Sharpsburg)	Western and Central		230	15% - 20%	Watch
Monocacy(Jug Bridgenear Frederick)	Central		552	30% - 35%	Normal
Patuxent(near Unity)	Central		35	40% -45 %	Normal
Deer Cr(at Rocks)	Central		89	15% - 20%	Watch
Choptank(near Greensboro)	Eastern		89	30% - 35%	Normal
Nassawango Creek(near Snow Hill)	Eastern		15.9	15% - 20%	Watch
Susquehanna(at Marietta)			23,200	10% - 15%	Watch
Potomac(at Little Falls)Corrected)			9,035	25% - 30%	Normal

Notes:

Stream Flow Status Based on 30 Day Average as of April 30, 2015					
Stream Gage Location	Region	Notes	30 Day Average	Percentage	Status
Youghiogheny(near Oakland)	Western		540	65% - 70%	Normal
Savage River(near Barton)	Western		127.2	50% - 55%	Normal
Wills Creek(near Cumberland)	Western		625	50% - 55%	Normal
Antietam Creek(near Sharpsburg)	Western and Central		337	25% - 30%	Normal
Monocacy(Jug Bridgenear Frederick)	Central		1116	35% - 40%	Normal
Patuxent(near Unity)	Central		58.7	55% - 60%	Normal
Deer Cr(at Rocks)	Central		110	25% - 30%	Normal
Choptank(near Greensboro)	Eastern		281	55% - 60%	Normal
Nassawango Creek(near Snow Hill)	Eastern		75.8	50% - 55%	Normal
Susquehanna(at Marietta)			92,433	75% - 80%	Normal
Potomac(at Little Falls)Corrected)			18,776	50% - 55%	Normal

Notes:

Stream Flow Status Based on 30 Day Average as of March 31, 2015

Stream Gage Location	Region	Notes	30 Day Average	Percentage	Status
Youghiogheny(near Oakland)	Western	[2]	1,080	90% - 95%	Normal
Savage River(near Barton)	Western	[3]	227.3	70% - 75%	Normal
Wills Creek(near Cumberland)	Western	[2]	899	60% - 65%	Normal
Antietam Creek(near Sharpsburg)	Western and Central		518	65% - 70%	Normal
Monocacy(Jug Bridgenear Frederick)	Central		2,830	90% - 95%	Normal
Patuxent(near Unity)	Central	[1]	124.5	>95%	Normal
Deer Cr(at Rocks)	Central		310	>95%	Normal
Choptank(near Greensboro)	Eastern		451	90% - 95%	Normal
Nassawango Creek(near Snow Hill)	Eastern		122.4	55% - 60%	Normal
Susquehanna(at Marietta)			49,727	15% - 20%	Watch
Potomac(at Little Falls)Corrected)			25,132	60% - 65%	Normal

Notes:

- [1] One daily value was missing as of 2015-Apr-02 and was neglected
- [2] Two daily values were missing as of 2015-Apr-02 and were neglected
- [3] Three daily values were missing as of 2015-Apr-02 and were neglected

Stream Flow Status Based on 30 Day Average as of February 28, 2015

Stream Gage Location	Region	Notes	30 Day Average	Percentage	Status
Youghiogheny(near Oakland)	Western	[1]			Unknown
Savage River(near Barton)	Western	[1]			Unknown
Wills Creek(near Cumberland)	Western	[1]			Unknown
Antietam Creek(near Sharpsburg)	Western and Central		208	20% - 25%	Watch
Monocacy(Jug Bridgenear Frederick)	Central	[1]			Unknown
Patuxent(near Unity)	Central		28.6	5% - 10%	Warning
Deer Cr(at Rocks)	Central	[1]			Unknown
Choptank(near Greensboro)	Eastern		207	50% - 55%	Normal
Nassawango Creek(near Snow Hill)	Eastern		49.4	30%	Normal
Susquehanna(at Marietta)			11,727	< 5%	Emergency
Potomac(at Little Falls)Corrected)			6,843	10% - 15%	Watch

Notes:

- [1] As of 2015-03-16, too many values were missing to evaluate for drought

Stream Flow Status Based on 30 Day Average as of January 31, 2015					
Stream Gage Location	Region	Notes	30 Day Average	Percentage	Status
Youghiogheny(near Oakland)	Western	[1]			Unknown
Savage River(near Barton)	Western	[2]			Unknown
Wills Creek(near Cumberland)	Western	[3]			Unknown
Antietam Creek(near Sharpsburg)	Western and Central		244	45% - 50%	Normal
Monocacy(Jug Bridgenear Frederick)	Central		1094	50% - 55%	Normal
Patuxent(near Unity)	Central		39.8	50%	Normal
Deer Cr(at Rocks)	Central	[4]	128	50% - 55%	Normal
Choptank(near Greensboro)	Eastern		378	90% - 95%	Normal
Nassawango Creek(near Snow Hill)	Eastern		55.4	30% - 35%	Normal
Susquehanna(at Marietta)			21,720	25% - 30%	Normal
Potomac(at Little Falls)Corrected)			8,578	25% - 30%	Normal

Notes:

- [1] As of 2015-02-02, fourteen values were unavailable due to ice, so this gage was not evaluated
 [2] As of 2015-02-02, twenty-seven values are unavailalbe due to ice, so this gage was not evaluated
 [3] As of 2015-02-02, thirteen values were unavailable, so this gage was not evaluated
 [4] As of 2015-02-02, eight values were missing. Two values were estimated using real time data. The remaining six missing values were neglected.

Stream Flow Status Based on 30 Day Average as of December 31, 2014					
Stream Gage Location	Region	Notes	30 Day Average	Percentage	Status
Youghiogheny(near Oakland)	Western		434	60% - 65%	Normal
Savage River(near Barton)	Western		55.3	30% - 35%	Normal
Wills Creek(near Cumberland)	Western		141	25% - 30%	Normal
Antietam Creek(near Sharpsburg)	Western and Central		253	60% - 65%	Normal
Monocacy(Jug Bridgenear Frederick)	Central		1,387	70%	Normal
Patuxent(near Unity)	Central		30.7	45% - 50%	Normal
Deer Cr(at Rocks)	Central		109	55% - 60%	Normal
Choptank(near Greensboro)	Eastern		169	70% - 75%	Normal
Nassawango Creek(near Snow Hill)	Eastern		19.2	15% - 20%	Watch
Susquehanna(at Marietta)			38,130	50% - 55%	Normal
Potomac(at Little Falls)Corrected)			9,905	50% - 55%	Normal

Notes:

Stream Flow Status Based on 30 Day Average as of November 30, 2014					
Stream Gage Location	Region	Notes	30 Day Average	Percentage	Status
Youghiogheny(near Oakland)	Western	2	196	40% - 45%	Normal
Savage River(near Barton)	Western	2	10.1	10% - 15%	Watch
Wills Creek(near Cumberland)	Western	2	36	10% - 15%	Watch
Antietam Creek(near Sharpsburg)	Western and Central	1	155	50% - 55%	Normal
Monocacy(Jug Bridgenear Frederick)	Central	4	486	45% - 50%	Normal
Patuxent(near Unity)	Central	1	17.8	30% - 35%	Normal
Deer Cr(at Rocks)	Central	1	82	40% - 45%	Normal
Choptank(near Greensboro)	Eastern		66	50% - 55%	Normal
Nassawango Creek(near Snow Hill)	Eastern	3	7.2	10% - 15%	Watch
Susquehanna(at Marietta)			11,349	15% - 20%	Watch
Potomac(at Little Falls)Corrected)		1	3,521	30% - 35%	Normal

Notes:

- [1.] One value was unavailable and was estimated by interpolation
- [2.] Two values were unavailable and were estimated by interpolation
- [3.] Three values were unavailable and were estimated by interpolation
- [4.] Four values were unavailable and were estimated by interpolation

Stream Flow Status Based on 30 Day Average as of October 31, 2014					
Stream Gage Location	Region	Notes	30 Day Average	Percentage	Status
Youghiogheny(near Oakland)	Western		266	85% - 90%	Normal
Savage River(near Barton)	Western		10.3	70% - 75%	Normal
Wills Creek(near Cumberland)	Western		43	40% - 45%	Normal
Antietam Creek(near Sharpsburg)	Western and Central	[1.]	186	75% - 80%	Normal
Monocacy(Jug Bridgenear Frederick)	Central	[2.]	459	65% - 70%	Normal
Patuxent(near Unity)	Central		14.5	45% - 50%	Normal
Deer Cr(at Rocks)	Central	[1.]	80	60% - 65%	Normal
Choptank(near Greensboro)	Eastern		24	35% - 40%	Normal
Nassawango Creek(near Snow Hill)	Eastern	[1.]	3.9	10% - 15%	Watch
Susquehanna(at Marietta)			12,600	50% - 55%	Normal
Potomac(at Little Falls)Corrected)			4,167	55% - 60%	Normal

Notes:

[1.] Two values were unavailable and were estimated by interpolation

[2.] One value was unavailable and was estimated by interpolation

Ground Water Status - End of September, 2015

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	5.96	Watch	Normal
	WA Be 2	35.39	Watch	
	WA Bk 25	46.72	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	21.24	Normal	Normal
	HA Bd 31	11.58	Normal	
	HA Ca 23	7.47	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	37.8	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	14.92	Normal	
Eastern	QA Cg 69	5.24	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	7.12	Normal	
	MC51-01	14.88	Watch	
	SO Cf 2	5.62	Watch	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	8.68	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	49	On Trend[4]	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	181.03[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	148.85	On Trend[4]	
	PG De 21 (confined)	64.27	On Trend[4]	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	93.34	On Trend[4]	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

[2] - Measurements not reported as of 2015-Oct-10 at 09:30

[3] - Value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Selected ground water levels are available from USGS at:

<http://md.water.usgs.gov/groundwater/>

Data for other wells may be downloaded from:

[USGS - NWIS Web Information for USA](http://www.water.usgs.gov/nwis/)

Ground Water Status - End of August, 2015

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	5.41	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	34.81	Watch	
	WA Bk 25	45.28	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	20.19	Normal	Normal
	HA Bd 31	6.89	Normal	
	HA Ca 23	6.72	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	35.77	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	14.46	Normal	
Eastern	QA Cg 69	5.3	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	6.02	Normal	
	MC51-01	14.3	Watch	
	SO Cf 2	5.32	Watch	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	6.63	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	180.22	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

[2] - Measurements not reported as of 2015-Sep-10 at 09:30

[3] - Value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Selected ground water levels are available from USGS at:

<http://md.water.usgs.gov/groundwater/>

Data for other wells may be downloaded from:

[USGS - NWIS Web Information for USA](http://www.water.usgs.gov/nwis/)

Ground Water Status - End of July, 2015

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	5.09	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	34.35	Watch	
	WA Bk 25	42.95	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	19.37	Normal	Normal
	HA Bd 31	7.24	Normal	
	HA Ca 23	6.16	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	33.49	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	13.26	Normal	
Eastern	QA Cg 69	4.27	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	6.05	Normal	
	MC51-01	13.37	Watch	
	SO Cf 2	4.77	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	5.52	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	178.31	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

[2] - Measurements not reported as of 2015-Aug-01 at 08:30

[3] - Value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Selected ground water levels are available from USGS at:

<http://md.water.usgs.gov/groundwater/>

Data for other wells may be downloaded from:

[USGS - NWIS Web Information for USA](http://www.water.usgs.gov/nwis/)

Ground Water Status - End of June, 2015

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	4	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	33.61	Watch	
	WA Bk 25	39.97	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	19.85	Normal	Normal
	HA Bd 31	7.26	Normal	
	HA Ca 23	6.37	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	33.79	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	12.18	Normal	
Eastern	QA Cg 69	5.24	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	5	Normal	
	MC51-01	12.3	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	2.07	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	4.36	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	177.47	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

[2] - Measurements not reported as of 2015-Jul-01 at 08:30

[3] - Value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Selected ground water levels are available from USGS at:

<http://md.water.usgs.gov/groundwater/>

Data for other wells may be downloaded from:

[USGS - NWIS Web Information for USA](http://www.water.usgs.gov/nwis/)

Ground Water Status - End of May, 2015

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	4.92	Watch	Watch
	WA Be 2	31.54	Watch	
	WA Bk 25	43.62	Watch	
Central	BA Ea 18	19.81	Watch	Normal
	HA Bd 31	9.62	Normal	
	HA Ca 23	6.95	Watch	
	MO Cc 14	31.86	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	12.85	Normal	
Eastern	QA Cg 69	4.5	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	5.58	Watch	
	MC51-01	11.47	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	3.08	Watch	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	4.44	Warning	Watch
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	176.29	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

[2] - Measurements not reported as of 2015-Jun-16

[3] - Value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Selected ground water levels are available from USGS at:

<http://md.water.usgs.gov/groundwater/>

Data for other wells may be downloaded from:

[USGS - NWIS Web Information for USA](http://www.water.usgs.gov/nwis/)

Ground Water Status - End of April, 2015

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	4.19	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	28.73	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	39.38	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	19.12	Normal	Normal
	HA Bd 31	7.93	Normal	
	HA Ca 23	6.62	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	27.46	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	11.63	Normal	
Eastern	QA Cg 69	3.6	Normal	Normal
	QA Ec 1	1.8	Normal	
	WI Cg 20	4.24	Normal	
	MC51-01	10.02	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	1.16	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	2.5	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	47.35	On Trend[4]	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	173.28	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	148.61	On Trend[4]	
	PG De 21 (confined)	61.81	On Trend[4]	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	92.82[5]	On Trend[4]	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

[2] - Measurements not reported as of 2015-May-18 at 10:54 AM

[3] - Value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

[5] - Measurement included in March drought update

Selected ground water levels are available from USGS at:

<http://md.water.usgs.gov/groundwater/>

Data for other wells may be downloaded from:

[USGS - NWIS Web Information for USA](http://www.water.usgs.gov/nwis/)

Ground Water Status - End of March, 2015

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	3.57	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	29.52	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	32.95	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	19.52	Normal	Normal
	HA Bd 31	6.59	Normal	
	HA Ca 23	6.33	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	23.81	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	11.57	Normal	
Eastern	QA Cg 69	3.1	Normal	Normal
	QA Ec 1	1.34	Normal	
	WI Cg 20	4.18	Normal	
	MC51-01	8.76	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	0.99	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	2.87	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	174.03[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	92.82[5]	On Trend[4]	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

[2] - Measurements not reported as of 2015-Apr-06 at 08:30 AM

[3] - Value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

[5] - Measurement made 4/2/2015

Selected ground water levels are available from USGS at:

<http://md.water.usgs.gov/groundwater/>

Data for other wells may be downloaded from:

[USGS - NWIS Web Information for USA](http://www.water.usgs.gov/nwis/)

Ground Water Status - End of February, 2015

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	4.82	Warning	Watch
	WA Be 2	31.69	Watch	
	WA Bk 25	43.52	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	20.46	Normal	Normal
	HA Bd 31	9.12	Normal	
	HA Ca 23	7.09	Watch	
	MO Cc 14	30.16	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	12.79	Watch	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	2.12	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	4.3	Normal	
	MC51-01	10.26	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	0.82	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	3.23	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	174.53	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

[2] - Measurements not reported as of 2015-Mar-10

[3] - Value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Selected ground water levels are available from USGS at:

<http://md.water.usgs.gov/groundwater/>

Data for other wells may be downloaded from:

[USGS - NWIS Web Information for USA](http://www.water.usgs.gov/nwis/)

Ground Water Status - End of January, 2014

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	4.22	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	31.24	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	41.17	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	20.53	Normal	Normal
	HA Bd 31	7.64	Normal	
	HA Ca 23	6.89	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	26.28	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	12.4	Normal	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	1.43	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	4.42	Normal	
	MC51-01	9.71	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	1.45	Watch	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	2.8	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	175.01[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

[2] - Measurements not reported as of 2015-Feb-05

[3] - Value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Selected ground water levels are available from USGS at:

<http://md.water.usgs.gov/groundwater/>

Data for other wells may be downloaded from:

[USGS - NWIS Web Information for USA](http://www.water.usgs.gov/nwis/)

Ground Water Status - End of December, 2014

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	4.25	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	31.42	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	41.36	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	21.32	Normal	Normal
	HA Bd 31	9.57	Normal	
	HA Ca 23	7.32	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	29.36	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	13.28	Normal	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	3.4	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	5.9	Watch	
	MC51-01	12.01	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	5.04	Watch	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	4.62	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	175.76[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

[2] - Measurements not reported as of 2015-Jan-07

[3] - Value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Selected ground water levels are available from USGS at:

<http://md.water.usgs.gov/groundwater/>

Data for other wells may be downloaded from:

[USGS - NWIS Web Information for USA](http://www.water.usgs.gov/nwis/)

Ground Water Status - End of November, 2014

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	5.08	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	34.64	Watch	
	WA Bk 25	45.69	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	21.49	Normal	Normal
	CL Ad 47	3.12	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	11.18	Normal	
	HA Ca 23	7.62	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	34.81	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	14.15	Normal	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	4.92	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	7.47	Watch	
	MC51-01	13.88	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	5.89	Warning	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	6.66	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	177.26	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

[2] - Measurements not reported as of 2014-Dec-17

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Selected ground water levels are available from USGS at:

<http://md.water.usgs.gov/groundwater/>

Data for other wells may be downloaded from:

[USGS - NWIS Web Information for USA](http://www.water.usgs.gov/nwis/)

Ground Water Status - End of October, 2014

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	5.09	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	34.09	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	43.24	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	20.53	Normal	Normal
	CL Ad 47	3.28	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	11.01	Normal	
	HA Ca 23	7.43	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	33.08	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	13.93	Normal	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	5.17	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	7.27	Watch	
	MC51-01	13.86	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	5.66	Watch	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	7.42	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	179.02	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

[2] - Measurements not reported as of 11/10/2014

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Selected ground water levels are available from USGS at:

<http://md.water.usgs.gov/groundwater/>

Data for other wells may be downloaded from:

[USGS - NWIS Web Information for USA](http://www.usgs.gov/nwis)

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of September 2015

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	595
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon Lake Koon	100% 81%	361
City of Baltimore	Liberty Loch Raven Prettyboy Total	99% 100% 100% 99%	364
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir Rocky Gorge/Duckett Seneca Creek Reserve	65% 96%	129 NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of August 2015

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	598
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon Lake Koon	100% 91%	395
City of Baltimore	Liberty Loch Raven Prettyboy Total	96% 96% 98% 97%	341
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir Rocky Gorge/Duckett Seneca Creek Reserve	79% 98%	151 NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of July 2015

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	598
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon Lake Koon	100% 100%	418
City of Baltimore	Liberty Loch Raven Prettyboy Total	100% 100% 99% 100%	332
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir Rocky Gorge/Duckett Seneca Creek Reserve	93% 100%	167 NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of June 2015

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	****	Est 634 Days
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon Lake Koon	100% 100%	411
City of Baltimore	Liberty Loch Raven Prettyboy Total	100% 100% 100% 100%	315
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir Rocky Gorge/Duckett Seneca Creek Reserve	100% 100%	175 NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

****Value was not available as of 2015-Jul-02 at 9:30 AM, but the days of storage are estimated based on the assumption that the reservoir is full.

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of May 2015

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	634
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon Lake Koon	100% 100%	404
City of Baltimore	Liberty Loch Raven Prettyboy Total	98% 100% 99% 99%	309
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir Rocky Gorge/Duckett Seneca Creek Reserve	99% 100%	170 NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of April 2015

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	****	****
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon Lake Koon	100% 100%	404
City of Baltimore	Liberty Loch Raven Prettyboy Total	100% 100% 100% 100%	324
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir Rocky Gorge/Duckett Seneca Creek Reserve	99% 100%	173 NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

****Value was not available as of 2015-May-15 at 9:20AM

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of March 2015

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	****	****
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon Lake Koon	100% 98%	425
City of Baltimore	Liberty Loch Raven Prettyboy Total	99% 100% 100% 100%	340
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir Rocky Gorge/Duckett Seneca Creek Reserve	96% 100%	176 NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Value was not available as of 2015-Apr-10 at 7:10AM

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of February 2015

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	442
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon Lake Koon	100% 64%	327
City of Baltimore	Liberty Loch Raven Prettyboy Total	99% 100% 100% 100%	353
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir Rocky Gorge/Duckett Seneca Creek Reserve	95% 100%	181 NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of January 2015

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	622
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon Lake Koon	99% 65%	327
City of Baltimore	Liberty Loch Raven Prettyboy Total	100% 100% 100% 100%	358
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir Rocky Gorge/Duckett Seneca Creek Reserve	94% 100%	180 NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of December 2014

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	****	****
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon Lake Koon	100% 66%	311
City of Baltimore	Liberty Loch Raven Prettyboy Total	100% 100% 100% 100%	385
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir Rocky Gorge/Duckett Seneca Creek Reserve	82% 100%	155 NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

****Storage is not available as of 2015-01-15. Frostburg had 621 days of storage at the end of November.

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of November 2014

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring

For the End of November 2015

<i>Water System</i>	<i>Reservoir</i>	<i>Percent Full*</i>	<i>Days of Storage**</i>
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	621
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	100%	333
	Lake Koon	74%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	99%	359
	Loch Raven	100%	
	Prettyboy	100%	
	Total	100%	
WSSC	Tridelphia Reservoir	61%	122
	Rocky Gorge/Duckett		
	Seneca Creek Reserve		
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* *Percent Full* is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir as of the end of November 2015

** *Days of Storage* is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous three years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of October 2014


Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	655
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon Lake Koon	100% 72%	318%
City of Baltimore	Liberty Loch Raven Prettyboy Total	96% 99% 99% 97%	354
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir Rocky Gorge/Duckett Seneca Creek Reserve	77% 100%	132 NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.


*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

Drought Status in Maryland




Drought Status in Maryland

As of August 31, 2015




Drought Status in Maryland

As of July 31, 2015




Drought Status in Maryland

As of June 30, 2015



Drought Status in Maryland

As of May 31, 2015




Drought Status

-  Emergency
-  Warning
-  Watch
-  Normal



Drought Status in Maryland

As of April 30, 2015




Drought Status

- Emergency
- Warning
- Watch
- Normal



Drought Status in Maryland

As of March 31, 2015




Drought Status

- Emergency
- Warning
- Watch
- Normal




Drought Status in Maryland

As of February 28, 2015




Drought Status in Maryland

As of January 31, 2015




Drought Status in Maryland

As of December 31, 2014



Drought Status in Maryland

As of November 30, 2014



Drought Status in Maryland

As of October 31, 2014

